原著

# Lanthanoid abundance in some neutral hot spring waters from Japan

Department of Chemistry, Sophia University, 7-1 Kioicho, Chiyoda, Tokyo 102-8554<sup>1</sup> Atomic Energy Research Laboratory, Musashi Institute of Technology, 971 Ozenji, Asou, Kawasaki, Kanagawa 215-0013<sup>2</sup>

Yoshikazu KIKAWADA<sup>1</sup>, Takao OI<sup>1</sup> and Teruyuki HONDA<sup>2</sup>

(平成10年9月2日受付、平成10年12月8日受理)

## 本邦中性温泉水中のランタノイド元素存在量

上智大学理工学部化学科 〒102-8554 千代田区紀尾井町7-1<sup>1</sup> 武蔵工業大学原子力研究所 〒215-0013 川崎市麻生区王禅寺971<sup>2</sup> 木川田喜一<sup>1</sup>, 大井隆夫<sup>1</sup>, 本多照幸<sup>2</sup>

#### Abstract

Lanthanoids (Ln's) in some neutral hot spring waters as well as in acidic hot spring waters were determined by neutron activation analysis. They were, admittedly roughly, at  $\mu$  g/dm<sup>3</sup> levels in acidic waters and at ng/dm<sup>3</sup> levels in neutral waters. It was found that a higher pH resulted in lower Ln's concentrations; the value of correlation coefficient (*r*) between the logarithm of the concentration of Sm ([Sm]), chosen as a representative of Ln's, and the pH was -0.89. The sum of [Al] and [Fe] was strongly correlated with [Ln]'s in the pH range from 1.3 to 8.8; the correlation was expressed as

#### $\log[Sm] = 0.893\log([A1]+[Fe]) - 5.45$

with *r* value of 0.98. The sum of [Al] and [Fe] was thus an excellent measure of the Ln contents in acidic and neutral hot spring waters. A relatively good correlation was also observed between  $\log[Sm]$  and  $\log[SO_4^{-2}]$ . The lanthanoid abundance patterns (Ln patterns) of the acidic hot spring waters were mostly horizontal with some with slight enrichment in the light Ln's and were similar to each other, while those of the neutral waters varied from water to water. The diversity in Ln pattern of neutral waters may be due in part to the existence of Ln carbonate species.

Keywords: lanthanoids, acidic hot spring waters, neutral hot spring waters, lanthanoid patterns, neutron activation analysis.

## 要約

いくつかの中性温泉水及び酸性温泉水中のランタノイド含有量を中性子放射化分析法により決定 した。それらは酸性温泉水ではおよそµg/dm<sup>3</sup>レベル,中性温泉水ではおよそng/dm<sup>3</sup>レベルであった。 温泉水のpHが高いほどランタノイド濃度は低くなり,ランタノイド元素の代表として選んだサマリウ ム(Sm)濃度の対数(log[Sm])とpHとの相関係数(r)値は-0.89であった。pHが1.3から8.8の範囲において, アルミニウム(Al)濃度と鉄(Fe)濃度の和はランタノイド元素濃度と非常に強く相関していた。その相 関性はサマリウムの場合, log[Sm] = 0.893 log([Al]+[Fe])-5.45で表され, r値は0.98であった。このよ うに酸性及び中性温泉水において, アルミニウム濃度と鉄濃度の和はランタノイド元素含有量のよい 指標となることがわかった。更に, 硫酸濃度の対数とサマリウム濃度の対数にも比較的良好な相関性 が観測された。酸性温泉水のランタノイドパターンがわずかに左肩上がりからほぼ水平で, お互いに 似ている一方, 中性温泉水のそれらは温泉水によりまちまちであった。この原因のひとつとして, ラ ンタノイドイオンと炭酸イオンの錯形成が考えられる。

キーワード:ランタノイド,酸性温泉水,中性温泉水,ランタノイドパターン,中性子放射化分析

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

The lanthanoids (Ln's;  ${}_{57}La - {}_{71}Lu$ ) form a very coherent group of chemical elements, although  ${}_{58}Ce$  and  ${}_{63}Eu$  sometimes exhibit anomalies due to changes in their oxidation states. The most stable oxidation state of all Ln's is Ln(III). The one-by-one filling of the inner 4f electron shell with increasing atomic number results in gradual changes in chemical properties, which is often utilized as an important tool for geochemical studies.

We have been engaged in geochemical study of hot spring water. It includes the study on water quality changes (Ossaka, *et al.*, 1998), behaviors of halide ions (Yamano, *et al.*, 1995) and dissolved oxygen(Ossaka, *et al.*, 1993), and influence of sea water on hot spring waters(Oi, *et al.*, 1993; Oi, *et al.*, 1996). Using Ln's as a geochemical tracer, we also reported the study on the formation mechanism of acidic hot spring waters of volcanic origin in which Ln's were at  $\mu$  g/dm<sup>3</sup> levels (Kikawada, *et al.*, 1993; Kikawada, *et al.*, 1995).

Unlike Ln's contents of acidic hot spring waters, those of neutral spring waters are very low, usually at ng/dm<sup>3</sup> levels. Maybe due in part to the difficulty of measuring such low Ln contents accurately, publications dealing with Ln's in natural neutral waters are limited except for those on sea water(Masuda and Ikeuchi, 1979 : De Baar, *et al.*,1985: Elderfield, 1988; Tanaka, *et al.*,1990: Piepgras and Jacobsen, 1992). If we confine our attention to Ln's in neutral hot spring waters, only preliminary studies are reported (Oda, 1969: Henderson, *et al.*,1987; Oi, *et al.*, 1990). In this paper, we reported Ln contents of some neutral hot spring waters in Japan, as well as those of acidic spring waters, and discuss, in terms of Ln contents and Ln abundanse patterns, some characteristics observed in neutral hot spring waters.

## 2. EXPERIMENTAL

#### 2.1 Hot spring water samples

Eight hot spring waters were collected on the Japanese Islands for the present study. Four (Kawayu, KAW; Noboribetsu, NOB; Goshogake, GOS; and Yukawa, YUK) were acidic (pH:1.4-3.03) and the remaining four (Nakabusa, NAK; Matsushiro, MAT; Yunotaira, YUN; and Shima, SHI) were weakly acidic-neutral (pH:5.82-8.8). We designate hot spring waters of the latter category as neutral hot spring waters in this paper. The locations of hot springs are shown in Fig.1.

Water samples for the analysis of major dissolved components were collected in 0.5- or 1-dm<sup>3</sup> polyethylene



Fig. 1: Locations of the hot springs investigated.

bottles. Those for the determination of Ln's were collected in 1-dm<sup>3</sup> or larger polyethylene bottles and conc.  $HNO_3$  was added to all the sampled waters (2 cm<sup>3</sup> HNO<sub>3</sub> per 1dm<sup>3</sup> of water except for the MAT water for which 4 cm<sup>3</sup> HNO<sub>3</sub> was added per 1dm<sup>3</sup> of water).

The formation mechanism of the hot spring waters examined can be described in a very simple manner as follows. A groundwater formed by infiltration of meteoric water into the ground acquires thermal energy, and sometimes acidity too, from deep-seated volcanic activity. The water acquires cationic components including Ln's from rocks surrounding water channels. Kinds and amounts of cationic species the water takes in must depend on various factors. The most influential factors are probably acidity of the water and the nature of rocks the water contacts underground. Amounts of cationic species are influenced substantially by acidity of the water. Higher acidity generally leads to higher contents of Ln's. Rocks the hot spring waters in this study contact underground are considered to be igneous rocks in most cases, since the waters are of volcanic origin in the sense that they obtain thermal energy (and acidic components) from some kind of volcanic activity.

#### 2.2 Determinations of major dissolved components

Alkali metal ions were determined by flame photometry and those of other metal ions were by ICP-AES. Anionic components were measured by ion chromatography. Total carbon dioxide ( $\Sigma CO_2 = \text{sum of } [CO_2] + [HCO_3] + [CO_3^2]$ ) dissolved in the MAT water was determined by microdiffusion analysis. The  $\Sigma CO_2$  was estimated for the other neutral hot spring waters from the difference in chemical equivalent between cationic and anionic species.

Contents of major dissolved components and relevant information on the waters are summarized in Table 1.

Sample	Nakabusa (NAK)	Yunotaira (YUN)	Shima (SHI)	Matushiro (MAT)	Kawayu (KAW)	Noboribetsu (NOB)	Goshogake (GOS)	Yukawa (YUK)
Sampling date	'88.8.2	'92.5.17	'92.7.26	'94.5.3	'88.6.14	* '88.6.12	'88.6.11	'89.9.19
Atm. Temp. /°C	-	16.7	30.1	27.5	13.1	13.3	16.1	-
Water Temp. /°C	81.0	73.9	51.4	46.4	55.2	81.2	87.0	40
pН	8.8	5.82	7.3	6.35	1.4	1.7	3.03	3
Eh / mV	-	51	312	58	-	-	-	-
Content (mg/dm <sup>3</sup> )								
Na⁺	132	218	281	3340	328	60.5	4.0	56.7
K+	18.2	5.52	19.9	459	52	18.7	1.1	16.8
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	tr.	1.92	0.13	297	72	11.7	4.6	19.9
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	2.66	117	156	1240	181	38.2	8.1	50.1
Al <sup>3+</sup>	0.154	0.2	0.117	0.2	129	64.1	7.2	4.9
Mn <sup>2+</sup>	none	0.10	0.12	2.4	10	1.2	0.30	3.0
Fe <sup>2+</sup> , Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.024	0.3	0.03	23.2	84	9.6	5.7	0.6
CI	84.3	246	444	7400	890	66.6	2.6	53.8
SO42-	61.9	418	268	281	2735	1680	146	510
H <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>3</sub>	294	217	194	182	143	433	70.6	67.5
ΣCO <sub>2</sub> (meas.)*1	-	-	-	1890	-	-	-	-
$\Sigma CO_2$ (calc.)*2	118	0.7	107	-	-		-	-

Table 1 Relevant information on the hot spring waters and the contents of major dissolved components in mg/dm3

\*1: measured.

\*2: calculated.

#### 2.3 Determination of lanthanoids

Ln's were determined by neutron activation analysis. The sample pretreatment for neutron irradiation was described in previous papers (Oi, *et al.*, 1990: Honda, *et al.*, 1989a,b). The neutron source was the nuclear reactors for research use at Musashi Institute of Technology (MIT) and at Rikkyo University. The  $\gamma$ -ray measurements were carried out at MIT using an ultra-pure Ge detector and a 4096 channel pulse-height analyzer.

Sample	Nakabusa (NAK)	vite to a <u>c</u> u	Yunotaira (YUN)		Shima (SHI)		Matsushiro (MAT)	
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> )							()	
57La	(1.80±0.03)	$x10^{2}$	(9.1±0.3)	$x10^{1}$	(4±1)	$x10^{1}$	(7.0±0.4)	$x10^{2}$
58Ce	(3.36±0.03)	$x10^{2}$	(3.4±0.6)	$x10^{2}$	(1.1±0.4)		(1.32±0.05)	
59Pr	ND	- 14 LA	ND		ND		ND	1010
60Nd	(7.7±0.6)	$x10^{1}$	ND		ND		(1.0±0.1)	$x10^3$
61 Pm	-		-		-		-	
<sub>62</sub> Sm	(4.5±0.5)	$\times 10^{0}$	(3.5±0.2)	$x10^{1}$	(1.9±0.2)	$x10^{1}$	(3.63±0.04)	x10 <sup>2</sup>
<sub>63</sub> Eu	(6.4±0.4)	x10 <sup>-1</sup>	(7.7±0.8)	$x10^{0}$	(5±1)	$x10^{0}$	(2.17±0.03)	x10 <sup>2</sup>
64Gd	ND		ND		ND		(8±1)	x10 <sup>2</sup>
65Tb	(2±1)	$x10^{-1}$	ND		ND		(1.68±0.06)	x10 <sup>2</sup>
66Dy	ND		(4.2±0.9)	$x10^{1}$	ND		(1.42±0.05)	
<sub>67</sub> Ho	ND		ND		(1.6±0.6)	$x10^{0}$	(3.3±0.5)	
68Er	ND		ND		ND		ND	
<sub>69</sub> Tm	ND		ND		ND		(1.60±0.06)	$\times 10^{2}$
70Yb	(2.0±0.2)	$x10^{0}$	(2.7±0.6)	$x10^{1}$	(1.0±0.2)	$x10^{1}$	(8.9±0.3)	
<sub>71</sub> Lu	(4.8±0.3)	$x10^{-1}$	(6±1)	x10 <sup>0</sup>	(2.2±0.3)	$x10^{0}$	(1.41±0.03)	
Sample	Kawayu		Noboribetsu	L	Goshogake		Yukawa	
-	Kawayu (KAW)		Noboribetsu (NOB)	l	Goshogake (GOS)		Yukawa (YUK)	
Sample Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> )	-			l				
Content	-	×10 <sup>4</sup>			(GOS)	×10 <sup>2</sup>	(YUK)	<b>v</b> 10 <sup>2</sup>
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> )	(KAW)		(NOB)	x10 <sup>3</sup>			(YUK) (3.57±0.09)	
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> ) <sub>57</sub> La	(KAW) (1.70±0.02)		(NOB) (4.73±0.06)	x10 <sup>3</sup>	(GOS) (3.53±0.06)		(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2)	
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> ) <sub>57</sub> La <sub>58</sub> Ce	(KAW) (1.70±0.02) (4.65±0.05)	x10 <sup>4</sup>	(NOB) (4.73±0.06) (1.12±0.02)	x10 <sup>3</sup>	(GOS) (3.53±0.06) (9.9±0.1)		(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2) ND	· · · ·
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> ) <sub>57</sub> La <sub>58</sub> Ce <sub>59</sub> Pr	(KAW) (1.70±0.02) (4.65±0.05) ND	x10 <sup>4</sup>	(NOB) (4.73±0.06) (1.12±0.02) ND	x10 <sup>3</sup>	(GOS) (3.53±0.06) (9.9±0.1) ND		(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2)	
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> ) <sub>57</sub> La <sub>58</sub> Ce <sub>59</sub> Pr <sub>60</sub> Nd	(KAW) (1.70±0.02) (4.65±0.05) ND	x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup>	(NOB) (4.73±0.06) (1.12±0.02) ND -	x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup>	(GOS) (3.53±0.06) (9.9±0.1) ND -	x10 <sup>2</sup>	(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2) ND -	x10 <sup>2</sup>
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> ) <sub>57</sub> La <sub>58</sub> Ce <sub>59</sub> Pr <sub>60</sub> Nd <sub>61</sub> Pm	(KAW) (1.70±0.02) (4.65±0.05) ND (2.8±0.2)	x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup>	(NOB) (4.73±0.06) (1.12±0.02) ND	x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup>	(GOS) (3.53±0.06) (9.9±0.1) ND	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup>	(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2) ND - (2.95±0.04)	×10
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> ) 57La 58Ce 59Pr 60Nd 61Pm 62Sm	(KAW) (1.70±0.02) (4.65±0.05) ND (2.8±0.2) - (1.03±0.01)	$x10^{4}$ $x10^{4}$ $x10^{4}$ $x10^{3}$	(NOB) (4.73±0.06) (1.12±0.02) ND - (2.03±0.01)	x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup>	(GOS) (3.53±0.06) (9.9±0.1) ND - (2.27±0.04)	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup>	(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2) ND - (2.95±0.04) (9.9±0.2)	×10
Content (ng/dm3) $57 La$ $58 Ce$ $59 Pr$ $60 Nd$ $61 Pm$ $62 Sm$ $63 Eu$	(KAW) (1.70±0.02) (4.65±0.05) ND (2.8±0.2) - (1.03±0.01) (2.24±0.03)	$x10^{4}$ $x10^{4}$ $x10^{3}$ $x10^{4}$	(NOB) (4.73±0.06) (1.12±0.02) ND - (2.03±0.01) (6.0±0.1) ND	x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup>	(GOS) (3.53±0.06) (9.9±0.1) ND - (2.27±0.04) (6.7±0.1) ND	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup>	(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2) ND - (2.95±0.04) (9.9±0.2) ND	×10 <sup>2</sup>
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> ) 57La 58Ce 59Pr 60Nd 61Pm 62Sm 63Eu 64Gd	(KAW) (1.70±0.02) (4.65±0.05) ND (2.8±0.2) - (1.03±0.01) (2.24±0.03) (1.4±0.1)	$x10^{4}$ $x10^{4}$ $x10^{3}$ $x10^{4}$ $x10^{3}$	(NOB) (4.73±0.06) (1.12±0.02) ND - (2.03±0.01) (6.0±0.1) ND (4.9±0.2)	x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup>	(GOS) (3.53±0.06) (9.9±0.1) ND - (2.27±0.04) (6.7±0.1) ND (5.42±0.08)	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup>	(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2) ND - (2.95±0.04) (9.9±0.2) ND ND	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup>
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> ) 57La 58Ce 59Pr 60Nd 61Pm 62Sm 63Eu 64Gd 65Tb	$(KAW)$ $(1.70\pm0.02)$ $(4.65\pm0.05)$ ND $(2.8\pm0.2)$ $-$ $(1.03\pm0.01)$ $(2.24\pm0.03)$ $(1.4\pm0.1)$ $(2.40\pm0.03)$	x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup>	(NOB) (4.73±0.06) (1.12±0.02) ND - (2.03±0.01) (6.0±0.1) ND (4.9±0.2) (2.89±0.02)	x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup>	(GOS) (3.53±0.06) (9.9±0.1) ND - (2.27±0.04) (6.7±0.1) ND (5.42±0.08) (3.14±0.03)	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup>	(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2) ND - (2.95±0.04) (9.9±0.2) ND ND (6.6±0.7)	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup>
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> ) 57La 58Ce 59Pr 60Nd 61Pm 62Sm 63Eu 64Gd 65Tb 66Dy	$(KAW)$ $(1.70\pm0.02)$ $(4.65\pm0.05)$ ND $(2.8\pm0.2)$ $-$ $(1.03\pm0.01)$ $(2.24\pm0.03)$ $(1.4\pm0.1)$ $(2.40\pm0.03)$ $(1.77\pm0.02)$	x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup>	(NOB) (4.73±0.06) (1.12±0.02) ND - (2.03±0.01) (6.0±0.1) ND (4.9±0.2)	x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup>	$(GOS)$ $(3.53\pm0.06)$ $(9.9\pm0.1)$ ND $-$ $(2.27\pm0.04)$ $(6.7\pm0.1)$ ND $(5.42\pm0.08)$ $(3.14\pm0.03)$ $(5.1\pm0.3)$	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup>	(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2) ND - (2.95±0.04) (9.9±0.2) ND ND (6.6±0.7) ND	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup>
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> ) 57La 58Ce 59Pr 60Nd 61Pm 62Sm 63Eu 64Gd 65Tb 66Dy 67Ho	$(KAW)$ $(1.70\pm0.02)$ $(4.65\pm0.05)$ ND $(2.8\pm0.2)$ $-$ $(1.03\pm0.01)$ $(2.24\pm0.03)$ $(1.4\pm0.1)$ $(2.40\pm0.03)$ $(1.77\pm0.02)$ $(3.2\pm0.2)$ ND	x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup>	(NOB) (4.73±0.06) (1.12±0.02) ND - (2.03±0.01) (6.0±0.1) ND (4.9±0.2) (2.89±0.02) (6.1±0.2)	x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup>	(GOS) (3.53±0.06) (9.9±0.1) ND - (2.27±0.04) (6.7±0.1) ND (5.42±0.08) (3.14±0.03) (5.1±0.3) ND	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup>	(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2) ND - (2.95±0.04) (9.9±0.2) ND ND (6.6±0.7) ND ND	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup>
Content (ng/dm <sup>3</sup> ) 57La 58Ce 59Pr 60Nd 61Pm 62Sm 63Eu 64Gd 65Tb 66Dy 67Ho 68Er	$(KAW)$ $(1.70\pm0.02)$ $(4.65\pm0.05)$ ND $(2.8\pm0.2)$ $-$ $(1.03\pm0.01)$ $(2.24\pm0.03)$ $(1.4\pm0.1)$ $(2.40\pm0.03)$ $(1.77\pm0.02)$ $(3.2\pm0.2)$	x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup>	(NOB) (4.73±0.06) (1.12±0.02) ND - (2.03±0.01) (6.0±0.1) ND (4.9±0.2) (2.89±0.02) (6.1±0.2) ND	x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>4</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup>	$(GOS)$ $(3.53\pm0.06)$ $(9.9\pm0.1)$ ND - $(2.27\pm0.04)$ $(6.7\pm0.1)$ ND $(5.42\pm0.08)$ $(3.14\pm0.03)$ $(5.1\pm0.3)$	x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup> x10 <sup>2</sup> x10 <sup>1</sup>	(YUK) (3.57±0.09) (9.9±0.2) ND - (2.95±0.04) (9.9±0.2) ND ND (6.6±0.7) ND	×10 <sup>2</sup> ×10 <sup>2</sup> ×10 <sup>2</sup>

Table 2 The contents of the lanthanoids of the hot spring waters

± : Errors in Gamma-ray counting.

ND: Not detected.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Seven  $\sim$  twelve Ln's were determined. In addition to Pm that has no stable isotope, Pr and Er were not determined in any of the samples. Nuclear characteristics of the nuclides of Pr and Er(<sup>141</sup>Pr and <sup>170</sup>Er) used for the analysis are unfavorable for their determination by the neutron activation analysis(IAEA, 1970; 1974). The



<sup>Fig. 2: The plot of the log[Sm] against pH.
Concentrations are in units of mol/dm<sup>3</sup>.
○, present data; ●, previous data
(Kikawada, et al., 1993; 1995: Oi</sup> *et al.*, 1990).

contents of Ln's of the present water samples, expressed in units of ng/dm<sup>3</sup>, are listed in Table 2. They are at  $\mu$  g/dm<sup>3</sup> levels in acidic waters and at ng/dm<sup>3</sup> levels in neutral waters. Contents of Ln's are much lower in the neutral waters than in the acidic waters, but they are higher than those in sea water.

3.1 Correlation of concentrations of lanthanoids with pH

A comparison of the pH values in Table 1 and contents of Ln's in Table 2 suggests the existence of a correlation between them. We chose Sm as a representative of Ln's and plotted the logarithm of the molar concentration of Sm ([Sm]) against the pH in Fig. 2. The data plotted are not only the present ones ( $\bigcirc$ ) but also those ( $\bigcirc$ ) in the previous papers(Kikawada, *et al.*,1993; Kikawada, *et al.*1995; Oi, *et al.*, 1990). The value of the correlation coefficient (*r*) is -0.89 with the number of the data points,

n=16, showing a strong negative correlation between the pH and log[Ln] in the pH range form 1.32 to 8.8. This correlation must be a reflection of the fact that the solubility of a lanthanoid salt/oxide is in general large in acidic solution and decreases with increasing pH of the solution.

3.2 Correlation of concentrations of lanthanoids with concentrations of major components

We calculated the values of *r* between [Ln]'s and concentrations of major dissolved components, using the present and previous data(Kikawada, *et al.*,1993; Kikawada, *et al.*,1995; Oi, *et al.*, 1990). Selected results are summarized in Table 3. Na, Ca and Sm are chosen as representatives of alkali metals, alkaline earth metals and lanthanoids, respectively. We notice in Table 3 a high correlation between log[Sm] and log[Al] (r= 0.93, n= 15) and between log[Sm] and log[Fe] (r= 0.90, n= 15).

Table 3 Values of the correlation coefficient (r) between the concentration of Sm and those of the selected components

	Na	Ca	Al	Fe	Al+Fe	CI	SO4	H <sub>2</sub> SiO <sub>3</sub>
r value	-0.14	0.40	0.93	0.90	0.98	0.27	0.89	0.27

In the previous paper (Kikawada, *et al.*,1993), we pointed out there existed a high correlation between log [Ln]'s and the logarithm of the sum of [Fe] and [Al] for acidic hot spring waters in the Kusatsu-Shirane volcano area. We made a similar plot in Fig. 3, using the present ( $\bigcirc$ ) and previous ( $\bigcirc$ ) data. The linear relation in Fig. 3 is mathematically expressed as log[Sm] = 0.893 log([Al]+[Fe]) -5.45. The *r* value with *n* = 15 is 0.98. This



Fig. 3: The plot of log[Sm] against log([A1]+[Fe]). Concentrations are in units of mol/dm<sup>3</sup>. ○, present data; ●, previous data (Kikawada, et al.,1993,1995).

result extends the previous conclusion concerning the [Sm]-([A1]+[Fe]) relation in the Kusatsu-Shirane area in two respects. One is that the good log[Ln]-log([A1] +[Fe]) correlation is not confined to acidic hot spring waters but is extendable to neutral hot spring waters. The other is that the correlation is not confined to one specific area but seems to be generally held, irrespective of area. Thus, the sum of [A1] and [Fe] is an excellent measure of [Ln]'s.

To investigate correlation of [Ln]'s with [Al] and [Fe] in more detail, log[Sm] is plotted individually against log[Al] and log[Fe] in Figs. 4a and 4b, respectively. A minute but definitive difference can be observed in the two figures. A good correlation between log[Sm] and log [Fe] holds over the whole range of the [Fe] examined , although the *r* value is smaller than that of the log[Sm] log([Al]+[Fe]) correlation. Contrary to this, while the



Fig. 4: a) The plot of log[Sm] against log[Al] and b) the plot of log[Sm] against log[Fe]. Concentrations are in units of mol/dm<sup>3</sup>.  $\bigcirc$ , hot spring waters with pH<3;  $\triangle$ , hot spring waters with 3  $\leq$  pH<5; and  $\square$ , hot spring waters with 5  $\leq$  pH. The broken line in a) is the least-squares-fitted line obtained using the data of pH<5 and that in b) is the least-squares-fitted line obtained using all the data points.

correlation between log[Sm] and log[Al] is quite high in the acidic region (r= 0.98 with n= 11 in the region of pH<5;  $\bigcirc$  and  $\triangle$  in Fig.4a), the correlation is ambiguous in the neutral pH region( $\square$  in Fig.4a).

The seeming non-correlation between  $\log[Sm]$  and  $\log[A1]$  in the neutral pH region appears attributable to the MAT water. In fact, if we omit the MAT water from Fig.4a, the linear relation in the acidic pH region can be extended to the neutral pH region quite naturally. [A1] of the MAT water is normal as the concentration of A1 in a neutral hot spring water. Thus, the substantial deviation of the MAT point from the correlation line in Fig.4a is due to its exceptionally high [Sm]. [Fe] of the MAT water is also exceptionally high, and hence no anomaly reveals itself in the  $\log[Sm]-\log([A1]+[Fe])$  and  $\log[Sm]-\log[Fe]$  plots in Figs. 3 and 4b.  $\log[Sm]$  is plotted against  $\log[SO_4^{2-}]$  and  $\log[Cl^-]$  in Figs.5a and 5b, respectively. As in Figs.4a and 4b, the data for waters with pH<3,  $3 \le pH<5$  and  $pH \ge 5$  are represented respectively by  $\bigcirc$ ,  $\triangle$  and  $\square$ . Evidently,  $\log[Sm]$  is more strongly correlated with  $\log[SO_4^{2-}]$  (*r*=0.89)than with  $\log[Cl^-]$  (*r*=0.27). Although the data points are scant, a close examination of Fig. 5a shows that the correlation between  $\log[Sm]$  and  $\log[SO_4^{2-}]$  may be divided into two parts; one for waters with pH<5 ( $\bigcirc$  and  $\triangle$ )and another for waters with pH $\ge$ 5( $\square$ ). That is,  $\log[Sm]$  seems linearly correlated with  $\log[SO_4^{2-}]$  differently in weakly~strongly acidic region and in neutral region with the exception of the MAT water. This suggests that determining factors of Ln contents are different between acidic and neutral hot spring waters. A similar tendency is also observed for the  $\log[Sm] - \log[Cl^{-}]$  correlation in Fig.5b.



Fig. 5: a) The plot of log[Sm] against log[SO₄<sup>2</sup>] and b) the plot of log[Sm] against log[Cl<sup>-</sup>]. Concentrations are in units of mol/dm<sup>3</sup>. ○, hot spring waters with pH<3; △, hot spring waters with 3≤pH<5; and □, hot spring waters with 5≤pH.</p>

#### 3.3 Lanthanoid abundance patterns

The variation in the shape of the lanthanoid abundance patterns (Ln patterns) is interesting and informative, although the elucidation of the Ln patterns of the individual hot spring waters is beyond the scope of this study. The Ln pattern is a plot of the ratios of Ln contents in a sample to those in chondrites (Leedey chondrites) (Masuda, *et al.*,1973; Masuda, 1975) against the Ln symbols arranged in the order of increasing atomic number. The Ln patterns are depicted for the hot spring waters in this study and additionally for waters (Kusatsu-yubatake, KUS and Tamagawa, TAM) from the previous work(Kikawada, *et al.*,1995), and for three igneous rocks in Fig.6.

The Ln patterns of the acidic hot spring waters examined are similar to each other, irrespective of the absolute values of [Ln]'s. They are mostly horizontal, with some waters with slight enrichment in the light Ln's. They also resemble the Ln patterns of the igneous rock samples. Since the source of Ln's in a hot spring water is usually rocks the water contacts underground, the resemblance in Ln pattern between the water and rock samples strongly suggests that the Ln pattern of an acidic hot spring water well reflects the pattern of the rocks it contacts underground.

Unlike those of acidic hot spring waters, the Ln patterns of the neutral hot spring waters examined vary from water to water. The Ln patterns of SHI and NAK waters show concave shapes, but the depth of the dent in the pattern is quite different between the two. The YUN water may be regarded as having the Ln pattern similar to those of SHI and NAK waters, but with much shallow dent, or may be regarded as having a Ln pattern similar to

 $10^{2}$ 

 $10^{0}$ 

0-2

10-4

10-6

Sample / Leedey chondrite



La Ce Pr Nd Pm Sm Eu Gd Tb Dy Ho Er Tm Yb Lu Fig. 6: The lanthanoid abundance patterns of hot spring water and rock samples.

The data of TAM and KUS waters and the rocks, all igneous rocks, are taken from the previous paper (Kikawada, et al., 1993). JA-3 is a rock reference sample issued by Geological Survey of Japan (Imai, et al., 1995)

those of the rocks with a slight enrichment in the light Ln's. The MAT water has a Ln pattern with a slight enrichment in the heavy Ln's (It is also unique in that its [Ln]'s are higher than those of the GOS water with pH=3.03).

In neutral hot spring waters in which the solubility of Ln oxides/ salts is usually very low, kinds and amounts of coexisting chemical species, especially anionic components, certainly have profound influence on chemical forms of Ln's and hence on their concentrations. This is probably explains the diversity in Ln pattern observed for the neutral hot spring waters.

It has been pointed out by several authors that the complexation of Ln's with carbonate species plays an important role in Ln contents in neutral aqueous environments (Turner, et al., 1981; Michard, et al.,1987; Cantrell, et al.,1987; Millero, 1992; Lee and Byrne, 1993; Johannesson, et al., 1996). For instance, based on speciation calculations modeling fresh water at 25 °C, Turner et al. (1981)

estimated that 60-70% of Ln exist as free Ln(Ⅲ) at pH=6, while Ln hydroxide and carbonate are major Ln species at pH=9. This may be the case with the present hot spring waters. The diversity in the Ln patterns of neutal hot spring waters may be traceable in most part to Ln carbonate complexation, and this complexation may also explain the exceptionally high [Ln]'s of the MAT water. In any case, a detailed speciation is certainly necessitated to elucidate [Ln]'s and Ln patterns of the present neutral hot spring waters in a satisfactory and quantitative manner, which remains unsolved in this paper.

### 4. CONCLUSION

To summarize, we make the following statements:

1) While Ln concentrations in acidic hot spring waters are in general at  $\mu$  g/dm<sup>3</sup> levels, those in neutral hot spring waters are in general at ng/dm<sup>3</sup> levels. It is found that Ln concentrations increase with decreasing pH

of water. This is consistent with the tendency that the solubility of a metal oxide/salts is generally higher at lower pH's.

- 2) There is an excellent correlation between Ln concentrations and the sum of the Al and Fe concentration in the pH range from 1.3 to 8.8. This correlation is not confined to hot spring waters in a restricted area but holds for hot spring waters in various areas in the Japanese Islands. The sum of Al and Fe concentrations was thus a good measure of the Ln contents in acidic and neutral hot spring waters. A good correlation is also found between the Ln concentration and the sulfate ion concentration.
- 3) Ln patterns of acidic hot spring waters, relatively similar to each other, are nearly horizontal, with some with slight enrichment in the light Ln's and seem to reflect quite well Ln patterns of rocks the waters contact underground. Contrary to this, Ln patterns of neutral hot spring waters vary from water to water and seem to be substantially influenced by the kinds and amounts of co-existing chemical species of anions. Most of Ln patterns of the neutral hot spring water examined are of concave shape. The Ln concentrations of the MAT water are exceptionally high and its Ln pattern is quite different from the other neutral hot spring waters examined. This may be due to the exceptionally high  $\Sigma CO_{2}$ .

#### Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No.08874059 from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

## REFERENCES

- Cantrell, K. J. and Byrne, R.H. (1987) Rare earth element complexation by carbonate and oxalate ions. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 51, 597-605.
- De Baar, H. J. W., Bacon, M. P. and Brewer, P. G. (1985) Rare earth elements in the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 49, 1943-1959.
- Elderfield, H. (1988) The ocean chemistry of the rare earth elements. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.*, A 325, 105-126.
- Henderson, P., Pickford, M. and Williams, C. T. (1987) A geochemical study of rocks and spring waters at Kanam and Kanjera, Kenya, and the implications concerning element mobility and uptake, *J. Afr. Earth Sci.*, 6, 221-227.
- Honda, T., Oi, T., Ossaka, T., Nozaki, T. and Kakihana, H. (1989a) Determination of rare earth elements in hot spring water and crater lake water samples by neutron activation analysis incorporating coprecipitation process using aluminum as a collector. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 130, 81-97.
- Honda, T., Oi, T., Ossaka, T., Nozaki, T. and Kakihana, H. (1989b) Determination of rare earth elements in hot spring and crater lake waters by epithermal neutron activation analysis. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 133, 301-315.
- IAEA(1970) Neutron Fluence Measurements Technical Reports Series No.107. Vienna.
- IAEA(1974) Handbook on Nuclear Activation Cross Sections. Vienna.
- Imai, N., Terashima, S., Itoh, S. and Ando, A. (1995) 1994 complication values for GSJ reference samples, "Igneous rock series". *Geochem. J.*, 29, 91-95.
- Johannesson, K. H., Stetzenbach, K, J., Hodge, V. F. and Lyons, W. B. (1996) Rare earth element complexation behavior in circumneutral pH groundwater: Assessing the role of carbonate and phosphate ions. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 139, 305-319.

- Kikawada, Y., Oi, T., Honda, T., Ossaka, T. and Kakihana, H. (1993) Lanthanoid abundances of acidic hot spring and crater lake waters in the Kusatsu-shirane volcano region, Japan. *Geochem. J.*, 27, 19-33.
- Kikawada, Y., Oi, T., Ossaka, T., Kakihana, H. and Honda, T. (1995) Leaching of lanthanoids from andesitic rocks by acidic aqueous solutions. *Geochem. J.*, 29, 67-84.
- Lee, J. H. and Byrne, R. H. (1993) Complexation of trivalent rare earth elements (Ce, Eu, Gd, Tb, Yb) by carbonate ions. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 57, 295-302.
- Masuda, A. and Ikeuchi, Y. (1979) Lanthanide tetrad effect observed in marine environment. *Geochem. J.*, 13, 19-22.
- Masuda, A., Nakamura, N. and Tanaka, T. (1973) Fine structures of mutually normalized rare-earth patterns of chondrites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 37, 239-248.
- Masuda, A. (1975) Abundance of monoisotopic REE consistent with the Leedey chondrite values. *Geochem. J.*, 9, 183-184.
- Michard, A., Beaucaire, C. and Michard, G. (1987) Uranium and rare earth elaments in CO<sub>2</sub>-rich waters from Vals-les-Bains (France). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 51, 901-909.
- Millero, F. J. (1992) Stability constants for the formation of rare earth inorganic complexes as a function of ionic strength. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 56, 3123-3132.
- Oda, T. (1969) Determination of rare earth elements in hot spring water by radioactivation method. *Radioisotopes*, 18, 39-43(in Japanese with English abstract).
- Oi, T., Kikawada, Y., Honda T., Ossaka, T. and Kakihana, H. (1990) Determination of the lanthanoids in a neutral hot spring water by neutron activation analysis. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 140, 365-377.
- Oi, T., Ogawa, J. and Ossaka, T. (1993) Boron isotopic compositions of Shimogamo hot springs, Izu, Japan. *Geochem. J.*, 27, 147-154.
- Oi, T., Ikeda, K., Nakano, M., Ossaka, T. and Ossaka, J. (1996) Boron isotope geochemistry of hot spring waters in Ibusuki and adjacent areas, Kagoshima, Japan. *Geochem. J.*, 30, 273-287.
- Ossaka, J., Ossaka, T., Hirabayashi, J., Oi, T., Ohba, T., Nogami, K., Kikawada, Y., and Hida, N.(1998)Water quality changes of Kusatsu hot springs caused by the emergence of Bandaiko hot spring. *J.Balneol.Soc.Jpn.*, 48, 166-178(in Japanese with English abstract).
- Ossaka, T., Inomata, K., Oi, T., Mukaida, M. and Ossaka, J. (1993) in situ measurements of dissolved oxygen (DO) in spring waters with a portable DO meter. *Nihon Kagakukai Shi*, 1993, 342-348 (in Japanese with English abstract).
- Piepgras, D. J. and Jacobsen, S. B. (1992) The behavior of rare earth elements in seawater: Precise determination of variation in the North Pacific water column. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 56, 1851-1862.
- Tanaka, M., Shimizu, H. and Masuda, A. (1990) Features of the heavy rare-earth elements in seawater. *Geochem. J.*, 24, 39-46.
- Turner, D. R., Whitfield, M. and Dickson, A. G. (1981) The equilibrium speciation of dissolved components in freshwater and seawater at 25℃ and at 1 atm pressure. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 45, 855-881.
- Yamano, M., Ossaka, T., Oi, T. and Ossaka, J.(1995) Contents of halide ions of hot spring waters in the Kusatsu-Shirane volcano area. *Nihon Kagakukai Shi*, 1995, 656-659(in Japanese with English abstract).